arXiv:cond-mat/0511646v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 28 Nov 2005

Description of Fischer Clusters Formation in Supercooled Liquids Within Framework of Continual Theory of Defects

M G Vasin, V I Lad'yanov

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Liquid is represented as complicated system of disclinations according to defect description of liquids and glasses. The expressions for the linear disclination field of an arbitrary form and energy of interdisclination interaction are derived in the framework of gauge theory of defects. It allows us to describe liquid as a disordered system of topological moments and reduce this model to the Edwards–Anderson model with large-range interaction. Within the framework of this approach vitrifying is represented as a "hierarchical" phase transition. The suggested model allows us to explain the process of the Fischer clusters formation and the slow dynamics in supercooled liquids close to the liquid–glass transition point.

1 Introduction

The Fischer clusters are long-range correlations of density fluctuations, which are observed in the supercooled liquids ($\sim$100 K above the glass transition temperature T_g) [1]. To date these clusters have been discovered in the polymers, glassforming, as well as in single fluids. The typical size of these formations is $\sim$100–300 nm, whereas the correlation radius of usual short-range thermal density fluctuations is $\sim$1 nm. The fractal-like structure and long lifetime are important typical properties of the Fischer clusters [2]. There are theoretical works in which the formation of these clusters is supposed to be the process of condensation of atom groups with a common type of local atomic ordering [2, 3]. However, in the case of dense liquids (at low temperatures) in these models have complications in definition of

order parameter. Besides this approach does not allow us to explain the roots of fractal-like structure of these formations. Therefore the nature of the Fischer clusters is not quite clear yet.

In the present work the theoretical model of glass transition, based on the known approaches of the disordered systems physics, is offered. Our model is based on the disclination model of amorphous structures, suggested by D. Nelson. From the beginning we write the system Lagrangian and deriv the expressions for the linear disclination field and energy of the inter-disclination elastic interaction (2-th section). After that we define the topological moment of the disclination system and describe liquid as a disordered system of interacting topological moments. It allows us to represent the liquid-glass transition in terms of spin-glass systems physics, and use the spin-glass theory to estimation of the size of the long-range correlations in supercooled liquids (3-th section).

2 Disclination description of liquids

The disclination description of liquids, suggested by D. Nelson, is the basis of our model. The basic thesis of this one is that the regular tetrahedron represents the closest and most profitable local atomic packing. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the structure of atomic liquids and glasses has a significant polytetrahedral character [4], first due to the success of the close random packing of hard spheres [5] as a model for metallic glasses and later due to computer simulations [6]. In the framework of this polytetrahedral model the liquid structure is considered to be a tessellation of all space by tetrahedra with atoms at their vertices. However, it is known, that Euclidean space cannot be paved only with regular tetrahedrons. With mathematical rigour it was demonstrated that it was possible only in the case of a 4-hypersphere space [7]. D. Nelson demonstrated that in order to transform a hypersphere into a flat space, it was necessary to introduce linear defects (like disclination and dislocation) into the structure [8]. Thus, the system of linear defects is an integral element of the polytetrahedral structure, and, owing to its topological stability, disclination can be considered as a basic structural element of the liquid structure. Hence, we can represent the liquid structure as a disclination system.

In order to describe the disclination system, we use gauge theory of defects [9]. To write down the Lagrangian of the defect system, let us make use of the standard theory of elasticity. The simplest Lagrangian, describing

the system with elastic deformations, has the form:

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \partial_4 \chi_i \partial_4 \chi_i - \frac{1}{8}\left[\lambda\, u_{\alpha\alpha} u_{\beta\beta} + 2\mu u_{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta}\right] ,$$

where $\chi_i(\bar{r},\, t)$ is the elastic strain field, λ and μ are the Lame constants, ρ_0 is the mass density (which is considered to be constant for simplicity), and u_{ij} are the relative deformation components:

$$u_{ab} = C_{ab} - \delta_{ab} = \partial_a \chi^i \partial_b \chi^i - \delta_{ab}$$

(Greek letters α, β, ... are used to denote the space components set $\{\alpha\} = \{1,\, 2,\, 3\}$, and Roman letters a, b, ... are used to denote the full index set, including the time component X^4 $\{a\} = \{1,\, 2,\, 3,\, 4\}$).

According to the gauge theory of dislocations and disclinations, the plastic deformation of the matter structure can be considered as breakdown of the homogeneity of the rotation and translation ($\mathrm{SO(3)}\triangleright\mathrm{T(3)}$) groups action. In order to take into account these homogeneity breakdowns, the compensating fields are introduced into the Lagrangian (A_a^α and φ_b^i), and transition from ordinary to covariant derivatives is carried out:

$$\partial_a \chi^i \to B_a^i = \partial_a \chi^i + \gamma_{\alpha j}^i A_a^\alpha \chi^j + \varphi_a^i,$$

where $\gamma_{\alpha j}^i$ are three generating matrixes of the semisimple group SO(3). After that the Lagrangian L_0 is substituted by the new Lagrangian:

$$L = L_0 + s_1 L_1 + s_2 L_2,$$

where s_1 and s_2 are free parameters of the theory, and the first term describes elastic properties of the matter:

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 B_4^i B_4^i - \frac{1}{8}\left[\lambda E_{\alpha\alpha} E_{\beta\beta} + 2\mu E_{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha\beta}\right]$$

(where $E_{ab} = B_{ia} B_{ib} - \delta_{ab}$ is the strain tensor). The second term,

$$s_1 L_1 = -\frac{1}{2} s_1 D_{ab}^i k^{ac} k^{bd} D_{cd}^i,$$

describes the dislocations, the following denotation is used here:

$$D_{ab}^i = \partial_a \varphi_b^i - \partial_b \varphi_a^i + \gamma_{\alpha j}^i \left(A_a^\alpha \varphi_b^j - A_b^\alpha \varphi_a^j + F_{ab}^\alpha \chi^j\right) \quad (F_{ab}^\alpha = \partial_a A_b^\alpha - \partial_b A_a^\alpha + C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha A_a^\beta A_b^\gamma),$$

in general case $C^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ are constants of the structure of the semisimple group SO(3) ($C^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$). The third term,

$$s_2 L_2 = -\frac{1}{2} s_2 C_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha}_{ab} g^{ac} g^{bd} F^{\beta}_{cd} \qquad (g^{\alpha\beta} = -\delta^{\alpha\beta}, \quad g^{44} = 1/\zeta), \qquad (1)$$

describes disclintions. The Yang-Mills fields, A^{α}_{a}, and φ^{i}_{a} describe disclinations and dislocations accordingly.

Usually it is supposed that the disclinations are most important structural elements in the description of amorphous matter, since they have the largest energy density $s_2 \gg s_1$, and determine two fundamental properties of glasses: the absence of long-range ordering and the resistance to crystallization. It is natural to suppose that disclinations are also fundamental structure elements in liquids, therefore hereinafter we will focus only on the case of breakdown of homogeneity of the rotation semi-group (monoid). In other words, we will neglect the φ^{i}_{a} field contribution to the action and restrict ourselves to the consideration of the theory with purely disclination Lagrangian,

$$L = L_0 - s_2 L_2.$$

In the case of linear disclination the difficulties, concerning nonlinearity of the Yang-Mills fields, can be avoided. The field of the disclination line element differs from the point disclination field, since in this case the rotational displacements, corresponding to the gauge transforms, can be performed only around the tangent to the defect line vector, directed along this element (wedge disclination), and, consequently, the gauge group reduces to SO(2). Therefore, the theory becomes much simpler since the rotation group SO(2) is Abelian instead of the non-Abelian group SO(3) (In work [10] this fact was used in the case of infinite rectilinear defects). In order to find the field of linear disclination, let us introduce the tensorial fields G^{α}_{k} and P^{α}_{k}, which take the following form:

$$G^{\alpha}_{k} \equiv F^{\alpha}_{k4} = \partial_k A^{\alpha}_{4}, \qquad P^{\alpha}_{k} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{klm} F^{\alpha}_{lm} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{klm}\left[\partial_l A^{\alpha}_{m} - \partial_m A^{\alpha}_{l}\right],$$

then the energy functional of the "free" disclination field (1) can take the form of:

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{4}\int (d^3x) F^{\alpha}_{ij} F^{\alpha}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\int (d^3x)\left[(P^{\alpha}_{k} - G^{\alpha}_{k})(P^{\alpha}_{k} - G^{\alpha}_{k})\right] + \int (d^3x) P^{\alpha}_{k} G^{\alpha}_{k}.$$

Minimizing this part of the Lagrangian we can get the quasi-stationary expression for the potential of the gauge field of the wedge disclination fragmenton dl_α:

$$A_4^\alpha = x_\alpha \left(\frac{C}{r^3} + 2C_1\right) dl_\alpha, \qquad A_k^\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha kj} x_j \left(\frac{C}{r^3} + C_1\right) dl_\alpha,$$

where C and C_1 are arbitrary constants. It should be noted that in this expression there is no summing with respect to α, and $C_1 = 0$ because of $A_k^\alpha(\infty) = 0$.

Let us consider the two basic examples: 1) First we find the field of the infinite rectilinear disclination, directed along the axis i_α. For that we introduce the normal to the axis i_α vector R_j, which determines the point location with respect to disclination. Then the expression of the field of the rectilinear disclination, directed along the axis z, can be written in the form of:

$$A_i^z = C\,\varepsilon_{zij} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{r^3} dl_z = C\,\varepsilon_{\alpha ij} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{R_j}{\left(R^2 + {l_z}^2\right)^{3/2}} dl_z = 2C\,\varepsilon_{zij} \frac{R_j}{R^2}.$$

Due to the condition $\Omega = 2\pi\nu = \oint A_i^z dl_i$, where Ω is the Frank vector, and ν is the Frank index, we get the expression which agrees with the result of [10]. 2) The field of the element of the round (with the radius equal to a) disclination loop has the form of:

$$A_i^\alpha = \frac{\nu}{2}\,\varepsilon_{\alpha ij} \frac{x_j}{r^3} dl_\alpha = \frac{\nu a}{2} n_i \frac{1}{r^3} dl_\alpha,$$

where n_i is the unit vector normal to the loop's plane.

If we consider only the low-angle disclinations, $\nu \sim 0.2$, which are typical for the liquid-like structure, the stress field around the disclination line has the form of:

$$\sigma_{ai} = \mu E_{ai} = \mu\left(B_{aj}B_{ji} - \delta_{ai}\right) = \mu\left[\varepsilon_{\alpha al} x_l A_i^\alpha + \varepsilon_{\alpha il} x_l A_a^\alpha + \partial_i u_a + \partial_a u_i\right].$$

Hence, the volumetric density of elastic interaction energy of disclnations, described by the fields A and A', has the form of:

$$F = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ai} E_{ai} \simeq \frac{\mu}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha al} x_l A_i^\alpha \varepsilon_{\gamma aj} x'_j A_i'^\gamma + O(a^4).$$

One can see that form of this interaction is similar to the form of electrodynamic interaction of currents. For example, the elastic interaction energy of

two round loops Γ and Γ' with the radius a, being remote from $\vec{r}$, has the form:

$$F \simeq n_i n'_i \frac{\pi^2 \mu a^2 \nu \nu'}{4} \oint\limits_{\Gamma} \oint\limits_{\Gamma'} \frac{1}{r}\, dl_j dl'_j. \tag{2}$$

This expression agrees with the expression of the interaction energy of current loops on condition that $\vec{n} \| \vec{n}'$.

3 Description of glass transition

The basic idea of our approach was first suggested by N. Rivier [11]. According to his theory the glass transition can be considered as phase transition in the system of topological defects. In this theory there is no principal distinction between the spin or structure-disordered systems. Both in the first and in the second cases the problem of description of the system is reduced to the description of the system of interacting disclinations. We would like to make use of this analogy and reduce this description to that of the disordered spin systems as much as possible, and apply the methods, known in the spin glass physics, to our system.

Computer simulation results testify that the disclination system is a tangled net of interacting disclinations. To describe this system, let us make use of the approach, which is well-known in classical electrodynamics [12]: we associate the system of linear disclinations, included in some small volume, such that the numbers of positive and negative disclinations entering this volume are equal, with their general topological moment (the analogue to the magnetic moment of the electric currents system). Thus, the total elastic interaction energy between all the elements of the disclination network can be represented as the interaction energy of the system of the local topological moments. Since the disclination loop is the simplest structure element, having a topological moment, to simplify the model, let us imagine the disclination network as the system of randomly located and randomly directed disclination loops.

Let us determine the topology moment vector $\bar{S}_i$ in our system as magnetic moment is determined in electrodynamics. In this case the Hamiltonian, that describes the disclination loops system, can be represented in the form of Heisenberg model Hamiltonian:

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\,j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_j,$$

with the inter-spin coupling (from (2))

$$J_{ij}^{lk} \approx \mu\pi^4 a^6 \nu_i \nu_j \frac{\cos(\angle \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_j)}{2|\vec{r}_{ij}|^3} \left(\delta^{lk} - 3\frac{r_{ij}^l r_{ij}^k}{r_{ij}^2} \right),$$

where l and k are the indexes of the space coordinates of the vector $\bar{r}_{ij}$. The module and sign of the disclination loops coupling depend both on their situation and their mutual orientation. This system is frustrated. Indeed, from the last expression one can see that the moment coupling is an alternating quantity, hence, with equal possibility these moments can interact in either ferromagnetic-like or antiferromagnetic-like manner ($\langle J_{ij} \rangle = 0$). This character of coupling is typical for spin glasses [13]. Therefore, we attempt to describe the considered system, using the modification of the Edwards-Anderson model with large but finite-range interaction, which was analyzed in [13, 14]. According to this analysis, glass transition in such systems is the so-called "hierarchical" phase transition, which is hierarchy of successive transitions that closes at the glass transition point T_g. Using the results of [14] and the expression of defect coupling energy obtained above one can estimate the transition points T_i for every i-th step of this series, as well as the correlation lengths corresponding to these points: T_i can be estimated from:

$$\ln \left[\frac{T_i - T_g}{T_g} \right] \approx \left[-\frac{p}{q-1}(q^{1+i} - 1) \right] \ln Z_0, \tag{3}$$

where $q = 32/15$, $p = 4/15$ [14], the coordination number, Z_0, can be evaluated from the coupling radius R:

$$Z_0 = \frac{4}{3} c\pi R^3,$$

where c is disclination density, and R can by determined from the inter-disclination interaction energy and thermal fluctuations energy parity condition:

$$E(A) = \frac{\mu a^6 \pi^4 \nu^2}{2R^3} \approx \frac{3kT}{2}, \tag{4}$$

where a is a typical interatomic distance. If we express R from (4) and substitute this expression in (3) we find that the freezing process begins at the temperature, which can be estimated from solving the equation

$$T_0 \approx T_g \left[1 + Z_0^{-\frac{4}{15}} \right] = T_g \left[1 + \left[\frac{4\mu c \pi^5 a^6 \nu^2}{9kT_0} \right]^{-\frac{4}{15}} \right]. \tag{5}$$

Subsequent cooling down results in formation of the clusters which finally have shaped at the temperature:

$$T_1 \approx T_g \left[1 + Z_0^{-0.84}\right].$$

The correlation length characterizing the average size of these clusters as well as their average life time can be determined as:

$$\xi \approx \left(\frac{Z_0^{2q}}{4c}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \qquad \tau \approx \tau_0 Z_0^{14/5}. \tag{6}$$

For quantitative estimation of these parameters let us assume their typical values: $a = 1 \cdot 10^{-9}$ m; $k = 1.38 \cdot 10^{-23}$ J/K, $T_g = 200$ K; $\tau_0 \approx 10^{-10}$ s; the estimation of the disclination density follows from the analysis of the experimental data (typical interdisclination distance is $\sim 5a$): $c = 1.4 \cdot 10^{24}$ m^{-3}; and the shear modulus of liquids is $\mu \approx 10^{10}$ N/m^2, according to [15]. As a result, after substitution of these parameters in (5) and (6), we get the following estimations: $T_0 - T_g \approx 100$ K; $T_1 - T_g \approx 20$ K; $\xi \approx 300$ nm; $\tau \sim 0.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ s. Of course, these estimations are rather proximate yet. But we hope, that they can be improved in the future.

4 Conclusions

The structure frustrations and complicated cooperative character of atoms moving in liquids lead to the necessity of using of the state-of-the-art methods of the statistical physics to understand the nature of its properties. In this paper we have tried to combine the gauge fields theory and Anderson localization theory to describe the structure formation processes in supercooled liquids.

The given above estimations allow us to conclude, that the process of the freedom degree freezing begins at the temperatures that are significantly above the glass transition temperature, T_g, and in the temperature range of $(T - T_g) \sim 100$ K in the structure of the liquid the correlations with the size $\sim$100 nm form. The disclination model allows us to explain the density beating by natural way, since the atom density is larger close to a negative ($\nu > 0$) disclination core and smaller close to a positive ($\nu < 0$) one. Therefore, we think that these correlations conform to the long-range density correlations (Fischer clusters), which are observed in the experiments.

This study was supported by the RFBR grant (04-03-96020-r2004ural), and grant of FSP "Integracia" (B0086/2121).

References

[1] E.W. Fischer, Laight scattering and dielectric studies on glass forming liquids, 1993 *Physica A* **201** 183;

[2] A.S. Bakai, Long-range density fluctuations in the glass-forming liquids, 2002 *J. Non-Cryst. Solids.* **307–310** 623;

[3] H. Tanaka, General view of a liquid–liquid phase transition, 2000 *Phys. Rev. E* **62** 6968;

[4] D.R. Nelson and F. Spaepen, Polytetrahedral order in condensed matter, 1989 *Solid State Phys.* **42** 1;

[5] J.D. Bernal, Geometry of the structure of monatomic liquids, 1960 *Nature* **185** 68;

[6] H. Jonsson and H.C. Andersen, Icosahedral Ordering in the Lennard-Jones Liquid and Glass, 1988 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** 2295;

[7] H.S.M. Coxeter, Regular Polytopes, Dover, New York, 1973;

[8] D.R. Nelson, Liquids and Glasses in Spaces of Incommensurate Curvature, 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 982;

[9] A.Kadich and D.G.B.Edelen, A gauge theory of dislocations and disclinations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983;

[10] V.A. Osipov, Aharonov-Bohm effect in planar systems with disclination vortices, 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **164** 327;

[11] N. Rivier, D.M. Duffy Line defects and the glass transition. — in: Numerical methods in the study of critical phenomena. Proc. Colloq., Carry-le Rouet, France, June 2–4, 1980/ Eds. J. Della Dora, J. Demongeot, B. Lacolle.–Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1981, pp. 132–142;

[12] I.E. Tamm, Foundation of the electricity (Rus.), Moscow, Science, 1989, 504. - ISBN 5-02-014244-1;

[13] V.S. Dotsenco, M.V. Feigel'man and L.B. Ioffe Spin glasses and related problems, 1990 *Sov. Sci. Rev. A. Phys.* **15** 1–250;

[14] L.B. Ioffe and M.V. Feigel'man, Hierarchical structure of the Edwards-Anderson spin glass, 1985 *Sov.Phys. JETP* **62** 376;

[15] Y.I. Frencel, Kinetic theory of liquid (Rus.), AS USSR, Moscow, 1945, 424 p.